## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED WITHOUT PREJUDICE

VS.

FRANCISCO GONZALEZ-CARRASCO,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:06-CR-438 TS

Defendant is serving an 87-month federal sentence. By his Motion for credit for time served, Defendant challenges the manner in which the Bureau of Prisons has calculated his credit for prior time served.

This case is on direct appeal.<sup>1</sup> Further, the Court having considered Defendant's Motion finds that it should have been filed as a Petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, because it primarily alleges violations in the execution of the court-imposed sentence—specifically the Bureau of Prison's calculation of his credit for time served.<sup>2</sup> Section 2241(a) provides

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Docket No. 37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See Cathcart v. United States Bureau of Prisons, 211 F.3d 1277 (10th Cir. 2000) (affirming denial of §2241 petition challenging BOP's refusal to credit time spent

that a "[w]rit[] of habeas corpus may be granted by [one of the federal courts] within their respective jurisdictions." Defendant is confined in Texas. The Tenth Circuit has clarified that "a petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 attacks the execution of a sentence rather than its validity and *must be filed in the district where the prisoner is confined*." The statute further

provides that, if a petition is brought outside of the jurisdiction "wherein the restraint

complained of is had," the Court "may transfer the application for hearing and

determination to the district court having jurisdiction to entertain it." 28 U.S.C.§ 2241(b).

In this case, Defendant has filed his request by Motion, rather than by a Petition addressed to the district court in the jurisdiction where he is confined. Because it was filed as a Motion rather than a Petition, Defendant has not paid the filing fee necessary to file such a Petition and, therefore, there is no Petition to transfer.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Credit for Time Served (Docket No. 40) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

DATED December 10, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

TEO STEWART

United States District Judge

in federal custody to his federal sentence, in addition to his state sentence).

<sup>3</sup> Bradshaw v. Story, 86 F.3d 164, 166 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1996) (emphasis added).